

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/679,776	10/05/2000	Richard D. Granstein	2650/1F966-US1 8709		
75	11/05/2003		EXAM	INER	
Darby & Darb	y PC		LI, QIAN	JANICE	
805 Third Aven New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11011 10111, 111	10020		1632		
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED 8 /ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad mark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/679,776		R. Granstein	02650/1007966-052
	• ,		-	EXAMINER
				a. Janice LT
				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
				1692
		INTERV	EW SUMMARY	DATE MAILED:
All p (1)_ (2)_	articipants (applicant, applican G Tamice L. Anne Warie): 	Fehlner Appl. Rep
Date	e of Interview			
Туре	e: Telephonic Persona	I (copy is given to ☐ applicant	applicant's representative).	
Exhi	bit shown or demonstration co	nducted: Yes No If yes, t	orief description:	
		•	,	
Clair	ement was reached. v	vas not reached. NA sendtry Claims Ashley et al	Beissert era	2
Des	Abbl. Ren exbla	what was agreed to if an agreem	A object to subst	itute dendritic pell ?
	LC. with reg	ands to issues 1	emain under 112!	&1, the types of antiques
	that could be i	used to induce t	olerance, and h	bether they spac
p	rovides suffic	ient evidence fo	r claiming so i	very discussed
(A fu	uller description, if necessary, a	and a copy of the amendments, if a	available, which the examiner a	greed would render the claims allowable a is available, a summary thereof must be
1. 🗓	It is not necessary for applica	ant to provide a separate record of	the substance of the interview	
IS N actio	OT WAIVED AND MUST INCL	UDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE PLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH	INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Sect	ESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION tion 713.04). If a response to the last Office TE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
2. [rejections and requirements	that may be present in the last Off ponse requirements of the last Off	ice action, and since the claims	ate response to each of the objections, are now allowable, this completed form eved from providing a separate record of
Exar	niner Note: You must sign this	form unless it is an attachment to	another form.	Ont.
FORM	PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)			

Manual f Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any</u> face-to-face or telephone <u>interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be made of record in the application</u> whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be <u>filed</u> by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111.1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" fist on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- -Serial Number of the application
- -Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- -Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- -An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The Identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

FAX TRANSMISSION
DATE: October 17, 2003
PTO IDENTIFIER: Application Number 09/679,776 Patent Number Inventor: Richard D. Granstein
MESSAGE TO: Examiner Li FAX NUMBER: (703) 746-5158
FROM: DARBY & DARBY P.C.
Jason C. Chumney
PHONE: (212) 527-7700
Attorney Dkt. #: 02650/100F966-US2
CONTENTS: If your receipt of this transmission is in error, please notify this firm immediately by collect call to sender at (212) 527-7700 and send the original transmission to us by
return mail at the address below. This transmission is intended for the sole use of the individual and entity to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or duplication of this transmission by someone other than the intended addressee or its designated agent is strictly prohibited.
DARBY & DARBY P.C. P.O. Box 5257, New York, New York 10150-5257 Telephone: (212) 527-7700 Facsimile: (212) 753-6237

:		119.1	Approved for stent and Trademark Of	use through 07/31/	PTOL-413A (08-03 2006. OMB 0651-0031) !
	Applican	t Initiated Intervie			THE TOTAL PROPERTY.	}
Application No.: Examiner: Li	09/679,776	First Named Applica		nerd D. Grans ation: Non-fir		
Tentative Participant	s:					Į.
(1) Paul F. Fehln	er, Ph.D.	(2) Examiner Li			-	į
(3) Examiner Rey	ynolds					EIVED
Proposed Date of Inte	rview; 10/23/20	03 Propo	eed Time:11:3	0 PM		FAX CENTE
Type of Interview Rec					oqt	2 0 2003
(1) Telephonic	(2) X Personal	(3) Video	Conference			10101
Exhibit To Be Shown	L	YES X	NO			
If yes, provide brief de	escription:	Issues To Be Disc	and a			
		13500 TO DE DIS				
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
(1) Rej - §112	2, 4, 5	NA				
	7, 11, 12, 16-19, 21-					
(2) <u>Rej - §112</u>	23, 31	NA	 			
(1) Pol. 6403	2, 3, 5, 7,	Ashley and				
(3) Rej - §103	31	Belssert	H			
(4) Rej - §103	2, 3, 5, 7, 31	Nair and Beisert				
X Continuation Si	heet Attached					
Brief Description of A		nted: ctions raised in Office Ac	tion mailed July 2	0 0000		
			uon mailed July 2	8, 2003.		
	ucted on the above-i	dentified application on			-'	
	ompleted by applica	ant and submitted to the ex	aminer in advance	of the interview	r (see MPEP	
		issue because of applicant'				
interview. Therefore, as soon as populble.	applicant is advise	d to file a statement of the a	DEPTATOR OF CHIE IN	erylew (37 CFI	C 1.133(0))	
Dunlan	J Reg. N	io. 54,781	Jan +	•		
	's Representative Sign		iner/SPE Signature)			
•)	-		\	11 1	

ໂຊຍນາ ກຸເຜີນເຜັນ ເມຍຸຣີ	M9 12:05:2 50171101 16 <	1570 SC/ 717 S	шон рамара
TendiT theithtell make I	MAN TAINETA COITBING IS .	・ とじじろ じまと じりじ イ	. mart baidanal

	·			<u> </u>		
! !			U.S. Pater	Approved for and Trademark C	or use through 07/31/ Officer, U.S. DEPARTI	PTOL-413A, (08 2006, QMB 0651-0 MENT OF COMME
Applicant In	itiated Inter	view Reque	st Form	(Con	tinuation o	f page 1)
Application No.:					hard D. Grans	
Centritive Participants:						
1) Paul F. Fehlner,	Ph.D.	(2) Exami	ner Li			
3) <u>!Examiner Reyno</u>	olds	(4)				
ropesed Date of Intervi	ew: 10/23/200)3	Proposed	Time:11:	30 PM	
ype of Interview Reque		(3)	Video Cor	lerence		
xhihit To Be Shows or I yes, provide brief desc	_	YES	X NO	_		
		Issues To I	Be Discu	ssed		
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #a	Prior Art	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agree
		Nair and	•			
) <u>Rej - §112</u>	6	Beissert a Segal	ind			
)						
)						
) 				L.,		
Continuation Shee	n Attached			•		
Application is pate			Office Action	n mailed July	29 2003	·
<u> </u>				Titioniou outy	20, 2003.	
interview was conduc	ted on the spoke-10	вашее аррисаци	3E OE			- •
OTE: his form should be con	npleted by applica	ut and submitted	to the exam	iner in advanc	s of the interview	v (see MPEP
13.01). els application will no	t be delayed from	issue because of	ipplicant's fr	allure to submi	t a written recor	d of this
terview. Therefore, a soores possible.	ррисал из волие	1 to the B stateme	or or the sep		eterview (37 CF)	K 1.133(0))
Marlum	Å Reg. N	0. 54,781		- t-	(.	
(Applicant/Applicant's			(Example	SPE Signature		
V	/				1	
:\02650\100F966 - 0	10\000722E0 D.C					

PTQ/\$8/97 (12-97)

Approved for use through 9/30/00, CMB 9/51-00/31 Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Pagerwork Beductor Act of 1995, no present are required to respond to a collection of information unless a visit CMB control number,

Certificate of Transmission Under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office

October 17, 2003 Date

Signature

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate

must identify each submitted paper.

Catolin

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form (2 pages)